Parental Involvement, Autonomy Support, and Adolescent Academic Motivation: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective

Unezeh Patricia Chioma, Ph.D.
Department of Educational Psychology / G&C,
Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education,
Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.
Email: unezehc@gmail.com | Phone: +234 803 389 2773

Njoku Olivia, Ph.D.
Faculty of Social and Management Science,
Department of Social Studies and Civic Education,
Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education,
Owerri Imo State. oliviaclaire225@gmail.com
+234 803 732 9949

https://doi.org/10.56201/ijssmr.vol.12no11.2026.pg308.317

Abstract
Adolescent academic motivation is fundamentally shaped by interpersonal dynamics within the family ecosystem, where parental involvement and autonomy support act as critical catalysts for psychological growth. Drawing from Self-Determination theoretical perspective, this study examines how parents can fulfill adolescents' basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness to foster self-determined motivation. Traditional parental involvement has often emphasized behavioural control, homework monitoring, and academic pressure, contemporary developmental evidence has demonstrated that autonomy-supportive parenting, characterized by perspective-taking, choice provision, and the minimization of external pressure, is vastly superior in cultivating intrinsic motivation and deep cognitive engagement. Conversely, coercive parental practices induce psychological reactance, amotivation, and academic burnout. This paper explores theoretical mechanisms to systematically synthesizes coercive parental involvement, autonomy support, and challenges of adolescent academic motivation across diverse socio-cultural landscapes. The paper showed practical implications for educational practitioners, and made recommendations for family-school partnerships. By shifting parental focus from coercive oversight to supportive scaffolding, the paper argued that educational stakeholders can empower adolescents to navigate secondary and post-secondary educational transitions with sustained psychological resilience, vitality, and internalized academic drive.

Keywords: *Parental involvement, autonomy support, academic motivation, Self-Determination Theory, adolescents*

Introduction

The transition from childhood to adolescence represents a profound developmental juncture characterized by rapid neurobiological maturation, identity exploration, and shifting social ecologies. Within this critical developmental phase, academic motivation, the psychological force that initiates, directs, and sustains learning behaviours, becomes paramount for educational persistence and lifelong achievement (Ryan & Deci, 2020; Jæger & Bæck, 2023). While educators and policymakers focus on school-level variables, such as classroom instruction, and curriculum design to enhance student engagement, an extensive body of educational psychology research underscores that the foundational roots of adolescent motivation reside deeply within the family home (Grolnick et al., 2023; Pinquart & Kauser, 2018). Parents occupy a uniquely influential position as primary socialization agents capable of either nurturing or stifling their adolescents' enthusiasm for learning through their daily interpersonal behaviours and regulatory styles. Historically, parental involvement has been conceptualized broadly in terms of parental presence in ensuring school attendance, homework supervision, and behavioural oversight of children and adolescents (Jæger & Bæck, 2023). However, contemporary study in adolescence psychology has shown that not all forms of parental involvement yield positive psychological outcomes. Heavy reliance on directive, controlling, or coercive involvement such as intrusive homework management, excessive grade monitoring, and conditional regard, frequently generate counterproductive psychological strain, leading to heightening anxiety and diminishing intrinsic drive (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Consequently, contemporary scholars have shifted attention toward examining the qualitative dimensions of parental engagement, which emphasize autonomy and supportive practices over mere behavioural control (Grolnick et al., 2023; Soenens et al., 2022).

This is why Ryan & Deci, (2017) posit that human motivation is contingent upon the satisfaction of three innate psychological needs: autonomy (the need to feel volitional and self-directed), competence (the need to feel effective and capable), and relatedness (the need to feel securely connected to others). When parents adopt autonomy supportive behaviors, acknowledging adolescents' perspectives, and offering meaningful choices that minimize external pressures, and encouraging self-expression, they satisfy these fundamental needs, fostering autonomous motivation and deep conceptual engagement. Conversely, controlling or coercive parenting thwarts these needs, resulting in controlled motivation or complete amotivation.

In the context of psycho-socio process of adolescence parenting, translating autonomy support into everyday parenting practices presents considerable challenges, particularly in socio-culturally diverse environments characterized by high academic competition, collectivist familial expectations, or economic precarity. Academic motivation should not be understood simply as the amount of effort a learner displays. It also concerns the reasons why the learner engages in a task and the degree to which those reasons are personally endorsed. For instance, two adolescents may spend equal periods studying, yet one may do so because the subject is interesting or connected to a valued future goal, while the other studies mainly to avoid punishment or parental criticism. Their visible behaviour may appear similar, but the quality and sustainability of their motivation may differ. Thus, academic motivation distinguishes autonomous motivation from controlled motivation and explains how social environments contribute to these orientations (Ryan & Deci, 2017, 2020). This distinction is important for parents who may evaluate involvement only through observable compliance, such as hours spent reading or completion of homework, without considering whether the adolescent is developing ownership of learning.

According to Ekeamadi, (2023), the distinction between involvement and autonomy support is especially relevant in contexts where examination performance carries considerable social and economic significance. In Nigeria, for example, parents may reasonably regard secondary school results as important for access to tertiary education and future employment. Their concern may lead them to pay school fees, purchase learning materials, arrange extra lessons, monitor attendance, and insist on regular study. Such actions can provide valuable support. However, the motivational meaning of these actions depends partly on how they are communicated and experienced. A parent can maintain high expectations while explaining their importance, listening to the adolescent's concerns, and allowing reasonable participation in decisions. The central argument of this paper is not that parental authority should disappear, but that academic guidance is more likely to support high-quality motivation when it is accompanied by respect, rationale, structure, and emotional responsiveness.

Theoretical Foundations of Academic Motivation:

The Self-Determination Theory was originally formulated by Edward L. Deci and Richard M. Ryan, serves as one of the most robust and comprehensive metatheories of human motivation, personality development, and psychological wellness (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Ryan & Deci, 2017). At its core, the operates on the foundational assumption that individuals are inherently active, growth-oriented organisms naturally driven toward psychological integration, mastery, and optimal functioning. However, this inherent motivational propensity is neither automatic nor impervious to environmental influence; rather, it requires supportive interpersonal conditions to flourish. Within the educational and familial domains, the provides an illuminating lens for understanding why some adolescents approach learning with fervent curiosity and self-directed vigor, while others exhibit apathy, avoidance, or purely extrinsic compliance

The motivational architecture of this theory rests upon the premise of a tripartite set of universal, innate psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2020). The need for autonomy refers to the psychological desire to experience oneself as the author and regulator of one's own actions, feeling volitional and free from heteronomous coercion. Autonomy must not be misconstrued as independence or isolation; rather, it denotes psychological endorsement of one's behaviour, where actions emanate from one's authentic self. The need for competence involves feeling effective in interacting with the social and academic environment, experiencing opportunities to exercise and express one's capacities, and mastering optimal challenges. Also, the need for relatedness entails feeling securely connected to and cared for by significant others, experiencing a sense of belonging within one's family, peer group, and school community (Grolnick et al., 2023).

In the context of adolescent academic development, the fulfillment of these three basic psychological needs acts as the direct psychological engine driving high-quality motivation. This is in line with Ryan and Deci (2020) conceptualization of motivation along a continuum of self-determination, ranging from amotivation, which is a complete lack of intentionality and drive, through various forms of extrinsic motivation, which include external regulation, introjected regulation, identified regulation, integrated regulation, to intrinsic motivation which means engaging in an activity purely for its inherent satisfaction, enjoyment, and interest.

Extrinsic motivation driven by external rewards or fear of parental punishment represents controlled motivation, which is characterized by rigidity, anxiety, and poor long-term retention. Conversely, when academic tasks are perceived as personally meaningful (identified regulation) or enjoyable (intrinsic motivation), students operate under autonomous motivation,

demonstrating superior conceptual learning, creativity, cognitive flexibility, and psychological well-being (Soenens et al., 2022). According to Ekeamadi, (2023), a further contribution of the Self-determination theory is its distinction between the satisfaction of psychological needs and the frustration or active thwarting of those needs. A student may experience limited opportunities for choice, but this is not necessarily equivalent to feeling coerced or controlled. Need frustration is more pronounced when the adolescent experiences pressure, rejection, humiliation, or repeated messages of incompetence. In family interactions, autonomy frustration may arise when parents dismiss the adolescent's perspective or impose decisions without explanation; competence frustration may arise when criticism communicates that improvement is impossible; and relatedness frustration may occur when affection or attention appears conditional on grades. This distinction helps explain why the absence of support and the presence of controlling or coercive behaviour should not be treated as identical conditions. Howard et al. (2024) synthesize evidence on need-supportive and need-thwarting behaviours in educational settings, highlighting the importance of examining both supportive and undermining interpersonal practices.

Ekeamadi, (2023), differentiates forms of extrinsic motivation according to the extent to which external demands have been internalized. External regulation occurs when behaviour is directed by rewards, punishments, or demands. Introjected regulation occurs when pressure has been partly internalized, so that students act to avoid guilt, shame, or loss of self-worth. Identified regulation occurs when learners personally recognize the value of an activity, even if the activity is not inherently enjoyable. Integrated regulation represents a more fully assimilated form of extrinsic motivation in which the value of the activity is consistent with the individual's broader beliefs and identity. Intrinsic motivation, by contrast, involves engagement for interest and inherent satisfaction. These distinctions show that extrinsic motivation is not uniformly negative. An adolescent may not enjoy every subject but may willingly study it because its value is understood and accepted. Parents can support this internalization by explaining the relevance of schoolwork and connecting academic demands with adolescents' own goals rather than relying exclusively on pressure.

The Dual Nature of Parental Involvement: Autonomy Support Versus Parental Control

Parental involvement has long been heralded by educators as a primary determinant of academic success. However, contemporary educational psychology distinguishes sharply between the quantitative quantity of parental involvement and its qualitative interpersonal tone (Grolnick et al., 2023; Jæger & Bæck, 2023). While traditional involvement metrics, such as attending parent-teacher conferences, checking homework, and enforcing study schedules demonstrate modest positive correlations with academic achievement, they frequently mask underlying variations in how parental pressure is experienced by the adolescent. To understand adolescent motivational trajectories, parents and guidance must examine the dichotomy between parental autonomy support and parental psychological control (Pinquart & Kauser, 2018; Soenens et al., 2022).

Parental autonomy support encompasses a constellation of parenting behaviorus designed to acknowledge the adolescent's perspective, encourage independent problem-solving, provide meaningful choices within clear boundaries, and minimize the use of external pressure, guilt, or coercive rewards (Grolnick et al., 2023). Autonomy supportive parents listen actively to their adolescents' opinions, validate their emotional experiences even when disagreeing with their choices, and scaffold learning rather than imposing predetermined solutions. According to Ekeamadi, (2019), autonomy supportive parenting directly nourishes the adolescent's basic psychological needs: acknowledging their perspective, providing constructive feedback and

optimal challenges fosters competence, by maintaining warm, non-judgmental dialogue and strengthening relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

In stark contrast, parental psychological control represents an intrusive, manipulative socialization style that attempts to regulate adolescent thoughts, feelings, and behaviours through psychological pressure tactics such as guilt-induction, love withdrawal, and shame (Soenens et al., 2022). Furthermore, behavioural control characterized by excessive surveillance and micromanagement, often disguised as intensive academic involvement, can thwart the adolescent's developing need for autonomy. When parents dictate career trajectories, hover over homework completion without fostering independent inquiry, or make affection conditional upon academic grades, adolescents internalize external pressures through introjected regulation. They study not out of genuine intellectual curiosity, but to escape parental disappointment or maintain self-esteem. This controlled motivational orientation consistently predicts academic burnout, test anxiety, emotional exhaustion, and school drop-out ideation during upper secondary education (Pinquart & Kauser, 2018; Jæger & Bæck, 2023). A useful way to understand parental involvement is to distinguish its dimensions. Home-based involvement includes conversations about school, provision of learning materials, assistance with assignments, encouragement, and the organization of a supportive study environment. School-based involvement includes attending meetings, communicating with teachers, participating in school activities, and responding to information about the adolescent's progress. Academic socialization involves communicating educational expectations, discussing future pathways, connecting school subjects to real-life purposes, and helping adolescents develop strategies for making educational decisions. These dimensions can serve different functions. For adolescents, academic socialization may be especially valuable because it encourages reflection and long-term planning without requiring parents to supervise every homework task. Hill and Tyson (2009) reported in a meta-analysis that parental involvement was associated with middle-school achievement, with academic socialization showing particularly meaningful associations. Although their review focused on middle school, it supports the broader argument that the form and developmental appropriateness of involvement matter. The motivational effects of parental control may be immediate as well as long-term. A student may comply with a parent's instruction in order to avoid punishment, yet become less willing to study when external monitoring is absent. Repeated reliance on threats, guilt, comparison, and conditional approval can shift attention away from curiosity and mastery toward the management of external consequences. It may also encourage concealment: adolescents who fear criticism may hide poor results, avoid asking for help, or provide inaccurate accounts of their academic difficulties. Such responses weaken the quality of communication that effective parental involvement requires. Soenens et al. (2022) emphasize the need to distinguish psychological control from legitimate behavioural guidance, since psychological control intrudes into the adolescent's internal world through guilt, shame, and love withdrawal.

Systemic and Cultural Challenges in Fostering Adolescent Autonomy Support

Despite the theoretical clarity and empirical robustness of autonomy supportive parenting frameworks, implementing autonomy support in real-world family ecosystems is fraught with complex systemic, cultural, and generational challenges (Grolnick et al., 2023; Jæger & Bæck, 2023). One of the primary challenge’s stems from cultural divergences regarding the definition of effective parenting and educational success. In many collectivist and high-pressure academic

environments across Nigerian communities, parental authority and strict behavioural monitoring are culturally valued as expressions of deep care, filial piety, and familial duty (Ekeamadi, 2023). In these contexts, directive parental involvement such as assigning strict study hours, selecting university majors, and demanding top examination rankings, is often perceived by adolescents not as need-thwarting control, but as normative parental sacrifice and guidance. However, empirical studies examining cross-cultural applications of autonomy supportive parenting consistently reveal that even within collectivist societies, the basic psychological need for autonomy remains universal; coercive parental control invariably exacts a psychological toll on adolescent well-being and intrinsic motivation, even when buffered by strong perceived relatedness (Soenens et al., 2022). A second major challenge is the socioeconomic and structural precarity that constrains parental bandwidth. According to Pinquart & Kauser, (2018), low-income families, working-class households, and parents navigating severe economic strain often lack the temporal and cognitive resources required to engage in nuanced, reflective autonomy supportive scaffolding. Economic survival pressures, inflexible work schedules, and under-resourced community environments force parents to adopt directive, survival-oriented parenting styles characterized by strict rules and immediate compliance demands. Also, normative developmental dynamics during adolescence itself present intrinsic challenges. As adolescents strive for individuation, identity formation, and peer acceptance, ordinary parental guidance can easily be misinterpreted as intrusive control, triggering emotional friction, defensive withdrawal, and parent-adolescent conflict. Parents frequently struggle to calibrate their level of involvement, swinging pendulum-like between over-involvement, a term contemporary adolescent psychology has termed as "helicopter parenting." Navigating this delicate equilibrium requires sophisticated emotional regulation and communication skills that many parents have not cultivated, there is therefore the urgent need for structured parent education and family-school collaboration.

This need is informed by the fact that cultural context requires careful interpretation rather than the assumption that autonomy support has one identical behavioural expression in every family. In some households, important educational decisions are made collectively and parental guidance is closely connected with family responsibility. Adolescents may value consultation with parents and may not define autonomy as making decisions without family input. Within families, however, autonomy concerns volition and psychological endorsement, not separation from others. A young person can willingly accept family advice or pursue a family-valued educational goal when the decision is understood and personally endorsed. The challenge arises when cultural expectations are used to justify coercion, silencing, or the denial of meaningful participation. Autonomy-supportive practice can therefore be culturally responsive while preserving the adolescent's experience of being heard and respected.

Research has shown that family structure and parental availability create additional differences in the form that involvement can take. Adolescents may live with one parent, grandparents, guardians, relatives, or in households where parents work far from home. Some parents may have limited formal education and may feel unable to assist with subject content, even though they value schooling. Others may face long working hours, unstable income, transport difficulties, or limited access to digital learning resources. These circumstances should not be interpreted automatically as indifference. A parent who cannot provide direct homework instruction may still support motivation through encouragement, a predictable routine, communication with teachers, or assistance in identifying a trusted adult who can provide academic help. Schools should avoid defining responsible involvement only in terms of physical attendance at meetings or the ability to purchase additional lessons.

Another challenge concerns the relationship between family expectations and the structure of formal education. High-stakes examinations, competitive admissions, large classes, and public ranking may encourage families to focus narrowly on grades. Under such conditions, parents may believe that pressure is necessary to secure opportunities for their children. Yet a school system that communicates only performance targets may leave little space for curiosity, personal interests, and meaningful choice. Bureau et al. (2022) show that both teacher and parent autonomy support are relevant to students' need satisfaction, suggesting that family practices cannot be considered independently of the classroom environment. When teachers use controlling language or provide feedback mainly through comparison and threats, parental efforts to promote autonomous motivation may be weakened. Sustainable change therefore requires coordination between family expectations and school practices.

Practical Implications and Actionable Recommendations

Translating autonomy-supportive parenting principles into actionable family and educational practices carries profound implications for reversing adolescent academic disengagement and fostering sustainable intrinsic motivation. To bridge the gap between psychological theory and everyday parenting, systemic interventions must be implemented across educational administration, school counseling, and community outreach.

1) Schools and educational boards must institutionalize parent-education and family-guidance programs focused on autonomy-supportive communication. Traditional parent-teacher associations (PTAs) often focus exclusively on fundraising, disciplinary compliance, and reporting grades. Instead, schools should conduct practical workshops that train parents in effective autonomy-support techniques: active perspective-taking (listening to adolescents' academic frustrations without immediate judgment), providing structured choices (allowing adolescents to determine study schedules and homework sequencing within agreed boundaries), and offering informational, non-controlling feedback rather than conditional praise or punitive reprimands (Grolnick et al., 2023).

2) Educational psychologists and school counselors must design proactive screening and counseling protocols that identify families experiencing maladaptive motivational dynamics. When adolescents exhibit symptoms of academic burnout, chronic procrastination, or amotivation, counselors should assess the home environment for psychological control and conditional regard. Family counseling sessions grounded in SDT can help parents recognize how excessive behavioral surveillance stifles autonomous regulation, guiding them toward scaffolding independence and celebrating effort and mastery rather than merely rewarding grade outcomes (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

3) Educational policymakers must reform assessment and curriculum structures to reduce the toxic pressures of high-stakes testing that drive parents toward controlling, over-involving behaviors. Curricula that incorporate project-based learning, inquiry-based exploration, and student choice empower adolescents to connect academic tasks with personal values and interests (identified regulation). When schools create autonomous, supportive learning climates, they reinforce and validate the autonomy-supportive practices cultivated at home, establishing a synergistic, mutually reinforcing ecosystem of academic engagement.

4) Community-based outreach initiatives should be established to support socioeconomically disadvantaged families, providing them with the material resources and psychological support necessary to reduce survival stress and enable mindful, supportive parental engagement (Pinquart & Kauser, 2018). By embedding autonomy support across home and school environments,

educational stakeholders can fulfill adolescents' basic psychological needs, transforming educational trajectories from reluctant external compliance to vibrant, lifelong self-determined learning. Therefore, parent-education programmes should translate autonomy support into specific communication practices rather than presenting it as an abstract psychological ideal. Parents can be encouraged to ask open questions about what adolescents find difficult, acknowledge frustration before offering advice, and provide a clear rationale when setting expectations. Instead of saying that a student must study simply because the parent has commanded it, a parent can explain how regular revision supports understanding and future educational options. Parents can also offer bounded choices, such as allowing the adolescent to select the order in which subjects are revised while maintaining an agreed minimum study routine. These practices preserve structure but give students opportunities to exercise judgement. The aim is gradual development of self-management rather than immediate independence from adult guidance. In doing this, feedback practices deserve particular attention. Feedback that focuses on specific strategies, progress, and areas for improvement can strengthen perceived competence. Statements that label a child as unintelligent, lazy, or disappointing may undermine confidence and make academic difficulty appear permanent. Parents should be encouraged to discuss errors as information about what needs further attention. When results are poor, the first response can involve identifying the source of difficulty such as limited preparation time, misunderstanding of content, stress, or lack of resources, before deciding on corrective action. Recognition of effort should not mean ignoring achievement standards; rather, it helps adolescents understand the relationship between strategies, practice, and improvement. This approach is consistent with the emphasis on competence-supportive feedback and informational rather than controlling communication. Thus, schools can strengthen the home-school relationship by providing multiple channels for communication. In-person meetings remain valuable, but they should be supplemented where appropriate by telephone communication, concise written updates, flexible meeting times, and accessible digital channels. This is particularly important for parents whose employment or transport circumstances make regular attendance difficult. Communication should include more than examination scores; it can also address attendance, participation, strengths, learning strategies, and areas where the student requires assistance. Teachers should avoid contacting families only when a problem occurs, since a pattern of exclusively negative communication can make parental involvement feel punitive. Constructive and balanced communication is more likely to encourage collaboration.

The school curriculum and assessment environment should also reinforce autonomous motivation. Teachers can provide meaningful options within learning activities, explain the purpose of assignments, invite questions, and use feedback that identifies how students can improve. Project work, inquiry activities, reading choices, and collaborative problem-solving can help adolescents connect academic content with personal interests and practical concerns. Such approaches do not remove the need for common learning objectives or standards. Rather, they provide opportunities for students to experience agency while working toward clearly defined educational goals. The 2024 systematic review and meta-analysis by Wang et al. found that educational interventions can improve students' autonomy and competence and may enhance intrinsic motivation, supporting the value of planned need-supportive practices in educational settings.

Conclusion

Adolescent academic motivation is not an isolated individual attribute, but a relational phenomenon deeply rooted in the interpersonal quality of the family environment. Grounded in Self-Determination Theory, this paper demonstrates that while parental involvement is essential, its motivational efficacy depends entirely on whether involvement satisfies or thwarts adolescents' basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Traditional, controlling, coercive and hyper-surveillance parental involvement induces controlled motivation, academic anxiety, and burnout. Conversely, autonomy-supportive parenting, characterized by perspective-taking, choice provision, and the minimization of external pressure, cultivates identified regulation, intrinsic motivation, and deep cognitive engagement. Despite cultural and socioeconomic challenges, shifting parental practices from coercive oversight to supportive scaffolding remains one of the most powerful pathways for fostering adolescent academic resilience. By integrating autonomy-supportive principles into family guidance curricula, school counseling frameworks, and educational policy, societies can empower adolescents to navigate their educational journeys with genuine psychological vitality and self-determined success.

The central implication is that effective parental involvement should be evaluated by its contribution to adolescents' psychological need satisfaction and developing capacity for self-regulation, not merely by the frequency of parental supervision. A family environment that combines warmth, clear expectations, meaningful participation, and constructive feedback can help adolescents understand the value of learning and assume increasing responsibility for their academic choices. By contrast, involvement dominated by coercion may produce visible compliance without durable commitment. The distinction is particularly important during adolescence, when students are expected to make consequential decisions about subject choices, examinations, and future pathways. Supporting this transition requires parents to remain engaged while progressively transferring appropriate responsibility to the young person.

References

Bureau, J. S., Howard, J. L., Chong, J. X. Y., & Guay, F. (2022). Pathways to student motivation: A meta-analysis of antecedents of autonomous and controlled motivations. *Review of Educational Research*, 92(1), 46–72. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543211042426

Deci, E. L., & Ryan,. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Ekeamadi, O.A. (2023). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(2), 217–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004

Ekeamadi, U.C. (2019). Challenges of parental involvement in contemporary society. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781

Grolnick, W. S., Raftery-Helmer, J. N., Flamm, E. S., & Marbell-Pierre, K. (2023). Parental involvement and autonomy support in academic domains: A self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, 15(2), 245–262. https://doi.org/10.1111/jftr.12502

Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(3), 740–763. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015362

Howard, J. L., Slemp, G. R., & Wang, X. (2024). Need support and need thwarting: A meta-analysis of autonomy, competence, and relatedness supportive and thwarting behaviors in student populations. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672231225364

Jæger, M. M., & Bæck, U.-K. H. (2023). Parental involvement, cultural capital, and adolescent educational motivation: A comparative review. *Educational Review*, 75(4), 612–630. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2021.2003412

Pinquart, M., & Kauser, R. (2018). Do the associations of parental involvement with student academic achievement depend on ethnic background? A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(3), 749–780. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-018-9440-9

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press. https://doi.org/10.1521/978.14625/28806

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 61, 101860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860

Soenens, B., Vansteenkiste, M., & Van Petegem, S. (2022). Let's talk about control: A review and directions for future research on parental psychological control. *Adolescent Research Review*, 7(3), 315–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-022-00185-3

Wang, Y., Wang, H., Wang, S., Wind, S. A., & Gill, C. (2024). A systematic review and meta-analysis of self-determination-theory-based interventions in the education context. *Learning and Motivation*, 87, 102015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2024.102015